IO5 SOUTH HIGHLAND AVENUE
POST OFFICE BOX 1147
JACKSON, TENNESSEE 38302-1147
731.423.2414
FAX: 731.426.8111

THOMAS H. RAINEY JERRY D. KIZER, JR. RUSSELL E. REVIERE WILLIAM C. BELL, JR. JOHN D. BURLESON GREGORY D. JORDAN Laura A. Williams ROBERT O. BINKLEY, JR. R. Dale Thomas DEANA C. SEYMOUR CHARLES C. EXUM MARTY R. PHILLIPS Dale Conder, Jr. TIMOTHY G. WEHNER BRADFORD D. BOX PATRICK W. ROGERS MICHAEL L. MANSFIELD MICHELLE GREENWAY SELLERS KEELY N. WILSON

AMANDA C. WADDELL GEOFFREY A. LINDLEY CRAIG P. SANDERS JONATHAN D. STEWART JAMES V. THOMPSON ADAM C. CRIDER ASHLEY D. CLEEK JOHN O. ALEXANDER, IV NATHAN E. SHELBY MICHAEL BURNETT JOINER MATTHEW R. COURTNER CALEB MERIWETHER W. CHRISTOPHER FRULLA BRANDON W. REEDY ADAM P. NELSON BRANDON J. STOUT

ROBERT E. ALDERSON (RET.)
CLINTON V. BUTLER, JR. (RET.)

April 14, 2016

Post Office Box 1147

Jackson, Tennessee 38302-1147

731.423.2414

731.423.2414 Fax: 731.426.8150

209 EAST MAIN STREET

MORGAN KEEGAN TOWER 50 NORTH FRONT STREET, STE. 610 MEMPHIS, TENNESSEE 38103 901.333.8101

901.333.8101 Fax: 901.577-1416

424 CHURCH STREET SUITE 2000 NASHVILLE, TENNESSEE 37219 615.651.7420 FAX: 731.426.8150

VALUATION OF A PROFESSIONAL PRACTICE

In the case of <u>Lunn v. Lunn</u>, a divorce case, the Court dealt with the issue of valuing the husband's dental practice. Specifically the court dealt with the "enterprise goodwill" of the practice and how it should be valued. The husband operated a dental practice as a sole practitioner. In valuing the dental practice, the Court heard testimony from an expert witness for the wife who valued enterprise goodwill, but did not include personal goodwill. The husband's expert witness included only the value of the net tangible assets of the practice, and not enterprise goodwill.

The Court pointed out that professional goodwill in a sole proprietorship is an intangible asset and is not divisible as marital property upon divorce because it is personal to the proprietor. However, the <u>Lunn</u> Court pointed out that Tennessee Courts have recognized the existence of "enterprise" or "business" goodwill as a distinct concept from "professional" or "personal" goodwill. Also the Court indicated that Tennessee Courts have been reluctant to allow enterprise goodwill to be divided as a marital asset upon divorce when the business involved is a sole proprietorship. Based on the prior precedents of Tennessee cases, the <u>Lunn</u> Court determined that the dental practice valuation of the sole proprietorship did not include enterprise goodwill.

MY RECOMMENDATION: The <u>Lunn</u> case dealt with the valuation of a professional practice for purposes of a divorce proceeding. The Court talked about the difference between enterprise or business goodwill verses personal or professional goodwill. The Court also discussed issues associated with the value of a sole proprietorship compared to other forms of business entities.

Although the <u>Lunn</u> case was a divorce case, the concepts could also apply in other aspects of valuation, whether that be for estate tax purposes, buy-sell agreements, business valuations in a Chapter 11 bankruptcy, redemptions of shareholders in a professional corporation or PLC, or other situations where valuation could occur.

It is important to understand and know concepts on valuations as to when they can help or hurt the person involved with the valuation issue.

Yours very truly,

RAINEY, KIZER, REVIERE & BELL, P.L.C.

William C. Bell, Jr., Attorney at Law